

The Seven Alignments of Responsibility: Person Profiles

Reading this edition: revised 4 October 2026 against the reviewed English full master, including its September corrections. This is a description of a proposed system, not a claim that the integrated system has been validated. Named scenarios and numerical outcomes inherited from earlier editions have not been independently source-verified in this revision; they must not be used as measured evidence without that verification. Separate working proposals are not silently adopted here.

Alignment 7: Foundation Alignment

Foundation Alignment describes a limited entrusted scope, not a judgment of character. A person may focus on their own life, work, care relationships or immediate community. Basic dignity and provision remain unconditional, whether or not that person chooses to contribute.

Someone at Alignment 7 may understand a particular issue deeply and qualify to vote on its relevant dimensions. Wider authority does not follow automatically, and neither does lack of authority imply selfishness, ignorance or lack of initiative.

Remaining here is a valid choice. Feasible contribution is recognized relative to sustainable capacity, including limited or zero capacity, and can earn finite discretionary entitlement over time. Moving to broader responsibility requires demonstrated capability for that scope.

Alignment 6: Active Participation

The Active Participant has awakened to their ability to make meaningful contributions beyond their immediate circle. They regularly volunteer, participate in community initiatives, and take responsibility for local issues that matter to them. Their consistent engagement supports recognition of this particular scope; it does not establish a general superiority over those at Foundation Alignment.

These individuals have begun developing a sense of efficacy—the belief that their actions can genuinely make a difference. They take initiative rather than waiting for others to solve problems, actively seeking information about issues they care about and sharing knowledge with peers. Their decision-making regularly considers impacts beyond themselves, embracing a wider circle of concern.

Active Participants often serve as connectors within communities, bridging different groups and encouraging others to get involved. They have consciously chosen to invest energy in collective wellbeing rather than solely personal advancement. Many find deep satisfaction in this level of engagement while balancing it with personal and professional responsibilities.

Alignment 5: Local Influence

The Local Influencer has developed recognized expertise or leadership in specific areas of community life. They serve on local boards, lead community organizations, or create initiatives addressing neighborhood needs. Their consistent track record has earned them trust and respect within their community.

These individuals demonstrate sophisticated understanding of local systems and effectively navigate different stakeholder interests to create positive outcomes. They're skilled at building consensus, mediating conflicts, and mobilizing resources for community benefit. Their decision-making considers complex interconnections between different aspects of community wellbeing.

Local Influencers maintain strong accountability to those affected by their decisions, regularly seeking diverse input and adjusting approaches based on feedback. They actively mentor others in responsibility-taking, helping to develop the next generation of community leaders. Their impact is significant within defined geographic or topical boundaries, creating tangible improvements in community life.

Alignment 4: Regional Impact

The Regional Impact person has expanded their sphere of influence beyond single communities to affect multiple interconnected systems across larger geographical areas. They may lead regional organizations, coordinate between multiple communities, or develop solutions to challenges affecting entire districts or states.

These individuals excel at translating between local and broader contexts, understanding how regional systems affect local communities and vice versa. They demonstrate exceptional abilities in strategic thinking, coalition building, and navigating competing interests across diverse constituencies. Their decision-making balances immediate needs with longer-term regional development.

Regional Impact individuals effectively communicate complex ideas to diverse audiences, building shared understanding across different communities and interest groups. They demonstrate strong commitment to evidence-based approaches while maintaining deep empathy for how policies affect real people's lives. Their work creates frameworks and infrastructure supporting thousands or even millions of people across multiple communities.

Alignment 3: Strategic Responsibility

The National Responsibility person works at the scale of entire societies, addressing systemic challenges that affect millions of people. They may serve in significant governmental positions, lead major national organizations, or pioneer innovations with country-wide implications. Their exceptional capacity for responsibility has been demonstrated through years of increasingly complex contributions.

These individuals possess comprehensive understanding of how different social systems intersect—economics, education, healthcare, governance—and can anticipate how changes in one area will affect others. They effectively balance competing values and priorities when addressing complex national challenges. Their decision-making integrates immediate practical concerns with multi-generational impacts.

National Responsibility individuals demonstrate genuine commitment to the wellbeing of all citizens regardless of background or circumstance. They maintain accountability to diverse constituencies while making necessary difficult decisions. Their communication bridges philosophical divides, helping build consensus around shared values despite different perspectives. Their work creates or transforms fundamental social structures affecting entire populations.

Alignment 2: Architectural Vision

The International Engagement person operates across national boundaries, addressing challenges that transcend any single country or culture. They coordinate between different national systems, lead international organizations, or develop frameworks for global cooperation. Their perspective encompasses diverse cultural contexts and multiple societal models.

These individuals demonstrate exceptional cross-cultural intelligence and linguistic versatility, effectively bridging different worldviews and governance approaches. They navigate complex geopolitical realities while maintaining focus on universal human needs and planetary wellbeing. Their decision-making balances respect for cultural diversity with recognition of shared global challenges.

International Engagement individuals build trust across traditional boundaries of nationality, religion, and ideology, finding common ground among diverse stakeholders. They maintain personal integrity while adapting to different cultural contexts, embodying universal values without imposing uniform solutions. Their work creates mechanisms for cooperation on planetary-scale challenges like climate change, technological transformation, or conflict prevention.

Alignment 1: Global Perspective

Global Perspective involves responsibility for problems spanning societies and generations. Such work calls for demonstrated ability to connect relevant knowledge, coordinate capable teams and account for effects beyond one institution or region.

A person working at this scope must examine competing perspectives and long-term consequences. This is a responsibility to demonstrate in practice, not an assumption of superior moral development.

Analytical work, practical experience and the knowledge of affected communities inform global decisions. No individual can substitute for every specialist or affected person; voting and entrusted authority remain limited to demonstrated understanding and competence.

Wider scope does not establish freedom from bias, self-interest or error. Transparent reasons, independent review and limits on concentrated power remain necessary at Alignment 1 as at every other alignment.